arXiv:1606.02104v2 [math.NT] 16 Jun 2016

Hua's Theorem with the Primes in Piatetski-Shapiro Prime Sets

Jinjiang Li* & Min Zhang†
Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology*†
Beijing 100083, P. R. China

Abstract: In this paper, we study the hybrid problem of Hua's theorem and the Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem, and obtain results in this direction of the nonhomogeneous case $k = 3$, which deepen the classical result of Hua.

Keywords: Piatetski-Shapiro prime; exponential sum; circle method; mixed power

1 Introduction and main result

In 1937, I. M. Vinogradov [27] solved the ternary Goldbach problem. He proved that, for sufficiently large odd integer N, there holds

$$\sum_{p_1+p_2+p_3=N} 1 = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{S}(N)\frac{N^2}{\log^3 N} + O\Big(\frac{N^2}{\log^4 N}\Big),$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(N)$ is the singular series

$$\mathfrak{S}(N) = \prod_{p|N}\Big(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\Big)\prod_{p\nmid N}\Big(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3}\Big).$$

For any sufficiently large odd integer N and fixed positive integer k, let $\mathcal{R}(N,k)$ be the number of representations of N in the form

$$N = p_1 + p_2 + p_3^k,$$

where p_1, p_2, p_3 are primes. In 1938, L. K. Hua [10] generalized the result of Vinogradov and proved that

$$\mathcal{R}(N,k) = \frac{k^2}{k+1}\mathfrak{S}(N,k)\frac{N^{1+1/k}}{\log^3 N} + O\Big(\frac{N^{1+1/k}}{\log^4 N}\Big),$$

†Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: jinjiang.li.math@gmail.com (J. Li), min.zhang.math@gmail.com (M. Zhang).

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(N,k)=\prod_{p}\Big(1+\frac{B_p(N,k)}{(p-1)^3}\Big),$$

$$B_q(N,k)=\sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} C_q(a,k)e\Big(-\frac{aN}{q}\Big),\quad C_q(a,k)=\sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\(\ell,q)=1}}^{q} e\Big(\frac{a\ell^k}{q}\Big).$$

In 1986, Wirsing [28], motivated by the earlier work of Erdős and Nathanson [4] on sums of squares, considered the question of whether one could find thin subsets $\mathcal{S}$ of primes which were still sufficient to obtain all sufficiently large odd integers as sums of three of them. He obtained the very satisfactory answer that there exist such sets S with the property that $\sum_{p\leqslant x,\, p\in\mathcal{S}} 1 \ll (x\log x)^{1/3}$. This result was later rediscovered by Ruzsa. Wirsing's result, which is obviously best possible apart from the logarithmic factor, is based on probabilistic considerations and does not lead to a subset of the primes which is constructive or recognizable.

We fix a real number c and consider the number of $n \leqslant x$ such that the integer part $[n^c]$ is a prime. In the case that $0 < c \leqslant 1$ every prime $\leqslant x^c$ occurs in this fashion and it is a simple consequence of the prime number theorem that we have the expected asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{\substack{n\leqslant x\\ [n^c]=p}} 1 = \big(1+o(1)\big)\frac{x}{c\log x}. \tag{1.1}$$

We let $\gamma = 1/c$, so that the set of the Piatetski-Shapiro primes of type $\gamma < 1$

$$\mathcal{P}_\gamma = \{p : p = [n^{1/\gamma}] \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a well-known thin set of prime numbers. Piatetski-Shapiro [22] proved the much more difficult result that the asymptotic formula (1.1) still holds in the range $1 < c < 12/11$. Since then, this range for c has been improved by a number of authors [1, 7, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18–20, 23]. The best results are given by [24] and [25], where it is proved that

$$\pi_\gamma(x) \sim \frac{x^\gamma}{\log x}$$

for $2426/2817 < \gamma < 1$, and

$$\pi_\gamma(x) \gg \frac{x^\gamma}{\log x}$$

for $205/243 < \gamma < 1$.

In 1992, A. Balog and J. P. Friedlander [2] considered the ternary Goldbach problem with variables restricted to Piatetski-Shapiro primes. They proved that, for $20/21 < \gamma \leqslant 1$ fixed, any sufficiently large odd integer N can be written as three primes with each

prime of the form $[n^{1/\gamma}]$. Rivat [23] extended the range $20/21 < \gamma \leqslant 1$ to $188/199 < \gamma \leqslant 1$; Kumchev [18] extended the range to $50/53 < \gamma \leqslant 1$. Jia [14] used a sieve method to enlarge the range to $15/16 < \gamma \leqslant 1$.

In 1998, Zhai [30] considered the hybrid problem of quadratic Waring-Goldbach problem with each prime variable restricted to Piatetski-Shapiro sets. To be specific, he proved that, for $43/44 < \gamma \leqslant 1$ fixed, every sufficiently large integer N satisfying $N \equiv 5 \pmod{24}$ can be written as five squares of primes with each prime of the form $[n^{1/\gamma}]$. Later, in 2005, Zhang and Zhai [29] improved the result of Zhai [30] and enlarge the range to $249/256 < \gamma \leqslant 1$.

In 2004, Cui [3] studied the hybrid problem of Hua's theorem ($k = 2$) with each prime variable restricted to Piatetski-Shapiro sets. He proved that, for any $104/105 < \gamma \leqslant 1$ fixed, every sufficiently large odd integer can be written as the sum of two primes and a prime square with all primes of the form $[n^{1/\gamma}]$.

In this paper, we consider the hybrid problem of Hua's theorem ($k = 3$) with each prime variable restricted to Piatetski-Shapiro sets and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $0 < \gamma_i \leqslant 1\,(i = 1, 2, 3)$, $0 < \delta_j < 1\,(j = 1, 3)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2} + \dfrac{\delta_1}{40} > 1, \\ \dfrac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2} + \dfrac{\delta_3}{3} > 1, \\ 73(1 - \gamma_i) + 86\delta_1 < 9 \ (i = 1, 2), \\ 1714(1 - \gamma_3) + 1725\delta_3 < 46. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Then for sufficiently large odd integer N, the equation

$$N = p_1 + p_2 + p_3^3, \quad p_i \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

is solvable.

From Theorem 1.1, we know that one may require three summands to be Piatetski-Shapiro primes of different type. In particular, by choosing $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \gamma$, we obtain

Corollary 1.2 *For any fixed $\frac{2816}{2825} < \gamma \leqslant 1$, every sufficiently large odd integer N can be written as the sum of two primes and a cube of prime with all primes of the form $[n^{1/\gamma}]$.*

However, the above result is not the best one. Taking $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma$ as in Corollary 1.2, we can enlarge the range of the value of γ_3 and obtain

Corollary 1.3 *For any fixed* $\frac{2816}{2825} < \gamma \leqslant 1$ *and* $\frac{3335}{193682} < \gamma_3 \leqslant 1$, *every sufficiently large odd integer* N *can be written as the sum of two primes of the form* $[n^{1/\gamma}]$ *and a cube of prime with the prime of the form* $[n^{1/\gamma_3}]$.

If we take $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$, then we can obtain

Corollary 1.4 *For any fixed* $\frac{1668}{1714} < \gamma \leqslant 1$, *every sufficiently large odd integer* N *can be written as the sum of two primes and a cube of prime with the last prime of the form* $[n^{1/\gamma}]$.

Notation. Throughout this paper, $p, p_1, \cdots$ are primes; N always denotes a sufficiently large natural number; ε always denotes an arbitrary small positive constant, which may not be the same at different occurrences; $n \sim X$ means $X < n \leqslant 2X$. We use $[x]$, $\{x\}$ and $\|x\|$ to denote the integral part of x, the fractional part of x and the distance from x to the nearest integer correspondingly. $\Lambda(n)$ denotes von Mangold's function; $\mu(n)$ denotes Möbius function; $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$; $\mathcal{L} = \log N$; $\psi(x) = x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}$. $f(x) \ll g(x)$ means that $f = O(g(x))$; $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ means that $f(x) \ll g(x) \ll f(x)$.

We also define

$$P = N^{1/3}, \quad S_1(N,\alpha) = \sum_{p \leqslant N} e(\alpha p), \quad S_3(N,\alpha) = \sum_{p \leqslant P} e(\alpha p^3),$$

$$T_1(N,\alpha) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant N \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma}} p^{1-\gamma} e(\alpha p), \quad T_3(N,\alpha) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant P \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma}} p^{1-\gamma} e(\alpha p^3),$$

$$T_{1,i}(N,\alpha) = \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant N \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_i}}} p^{1-\gamma_i} e(\alpha p), \qquad (i = 1, 2).$$

2 Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 *For any real numbers* α *and* $\tau \geqslant 1$, *there must be integers* a *and* q, $(a, q) = 1$, $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \tau$, *such that*

$$\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \lambda, \qquad |\lambda| \leqslant \frac{1}{q\tau}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. See C. D. Pan and C. B. Pan [21], Lemma 5.19. ■

Lemma 2.2 *Let* α *be as in Lemma 2.1. Then*

$$S_1(N,\alpha) \ll N\mathcal{L}^4 \left(\frac{1}{q^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{N^{1/5}} + \frac{q^{1/2}}{N^{1/2}} \right).$$

Proof. See Vaughan [26], Theorem 3.1. ■

Lemma 2.3 *Let α be as in Lemma 2.1. Then*

$$S_3(N,\alpha) \ll N^{1/3+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{N^{1/6}}+\frac{q}{N}\right)^{1/16}.$$

Proof. See Harman [6], Theorem 1. ■

Lemma 2.4 *let γ, δ_1 satisfy $0<\gamma\leqslant 1$, $\delta_1>0$ and*

$$73(1-\gamma)+86\delta_1<9.$$

Then, uniformly in α, we have

$$T_1(N,\alpha)=S_1(N,\alpha)+O\big(N^{1-\delta_1-\varepsilon}\big)$$

where the implied constant depends only on γ and δ_1.

Proof. This is, all in essentials, deduced from the process of the proof of Kumchev [17] Theorem 2. ■

Lemma 2.5 *We have*

$$\int_0^1 \big|T_1(N,\alpha)\big|^2 \mathrm{d}\alpha \ll N^{2-\gamma}.$$

Proof. See Cui [3], Lemma 6. ■

Lemma 2.6 *Suppose that $f(x):[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ has continuous derivatives of order up to 2 on $[a,b]$, where $1\leqslant a<b\leqslant 2a$. Suppose further that*

$$0<c_1\lambda_1\leqslant|f'(x)|\leqslant c_2\lambda_1,\quad c_3\lambda_1 a^{-1}\leqslant|f''(x)|\leqslant c_4\lambda_1 a^{-1},\quad x\in[a,b],$$

where c_j $(j=1,2,3,4)$ are absolute constants. Then

$$\sum_{a<n\leqslant b} e\big(f(n)\big)\ll a^{1/2}\lambda_1^{1/2}+\lambda_1^{-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

If $c_2\lambda_1\leqslant 1/2$, then we have

$$\sum_{a<n\leqslant b} e\big(f(n)\big)\ll \lambda_1^{-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. See Jia [11], Lemma 1. ■

Lemma 2.7 *Let $k \geqslant 3$ be an integer, and suppose that $f(x) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ has continuous derivatives of order up to k on $[a, b]$, where $1 \leqslant a < b \leqslant 2a$. Suppose further that*

$$0 < \lambda_k \leqslant \big|f^{(k)}(x)\big| \leqslant A\lambda_k, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Then

$$\sum_{a<n\leqslant b} e\big(f(n)\big) \ll_{A,k,\varepsilon} N^{1+\varepsilon}\Big(\lambda_k^{1/k(k-1)} + N^{-1/k(k-1)} + N^{-2/k(k-1)}\lambda_k^{-2/k^2(k-1)}\Big).$$

Proof. See Heath-Brown [9], Theorem 1. ■

Lemma 2.8 *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a subinterval of $(Y, 2Y]$ and let J be a positive integer. Then*

$$\Big|\sum_{n\in\mathcal{I}} z_n\Big|^2 \leqslant \Big(1 + \frac{Y}{J}\Big)\sum_{|j|\leqslant J}\Big(1 - \frac{|j|}{J}\Big)\sum_{n,n+j\in\mathcal{I}} z_{n+j}\overline{z_n}.$$

Proof. See Heath-Brown [7], Lemma 5. ■

Lemma 2.9 *Suppose that $1/2 < \alpha < 1$, $H \geqslant 1$, $N \geqslant 1$, $\Delta > 0$. Let $S(H, N, \Delta, \gamma)$ denote the number of solutions of the inequality*

$$\big|h_1 n_1^\alpha - h_2 n_2^\alpha\big| \leqslant \Delta, \qquad h_1,\, h_2 \sim H,\, n_1,\, n_2 \sim N.$$

Then we have

$$S(H, N, \Delta, \gamma) \ll HN \log 2HN + \Delta HN^{2-\alpha}.$$

Proof. See the discussion on pp. 256-257 of Heath-Brown [7]. ■

Lemma 2.10 *For any $H \geqslant 1$, we have*

$$\psi(\theta) = -\sum_{0<|h|\leqslant H} \frac{e(\theta h)}{2\pi i h} + O\big(g(\theta, H)\big), \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$g(\theta, H) = \min\Big(1, \frac{1}{H\|\theta\|}\Big) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} a_h e(\theta h) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$a_h \ll \min\Big(\frac{\log 2H}{H}, \frac{1}{|h|}, \frac{H}{|h|^2}\Big). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. See pp. 245 of Heath-Brown [7]. ■

Lemma 2.11 *Let $z \geqslant 1$ and $k \geqslant 1$. Then, for any $n \leqslant 2z^k$,*

$$\Lambda(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j-1}\binom{k}{j}\sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2\cdots n_{2j}=n\\ n_{j+1},\cdots,n_{2j}\leqslant z}}\cdots\sum(\log n_1)\mu(n_{j+1})\cdots\mu(n_{2j}).$$

Proof. See pp. 1366-1367 of Heath-Brown [8]. ■

Lemma 2.12 *Suppose that*

$$L(H)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}A_iH^{a_i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}B_jH^{-b_j},$$

where A_i, B_j, a_i and b_j are positive. Assume that $H_1\leqslant H_2$. Then there is some $\mathscr{H}$ with $H_1\leqslant\mathscr{H}\leqslant H_2$ and

$$L(\mathscr{H})\ll\sum_{i=1}^{m}A_iH_1^{a_i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}B_jH_2^{-b_j}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\big(A_i^{b_j}B_j^{a_i}\big)^{1/(a_i+b_j)}.$$

The implied constant depends only on m and n.

Proof. See Graham and Kolesnik [5], Lemma 2.4. ■

Lemma 2.13 *Suppose that $f(x)\ll B$, $f'(x)\gg\Delta$ for $x\sim N$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{n\sim N}\min\left(D,\frac{1}{\|f(n)\|}\right)\ll(B+1)\left(D+\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\log\left(2+\frac{1}{\Delta}\right).$$

Proof. See Jia [11], Lemma 3. ■

Lemma 2.14 *Suppose $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are algebraic function in $[a,b]$ and*

$$\frac{1}{R}\leqslant\big|f''(x)\big|\ll\frac{1}{R},\qquad\big|f'''(x)\big|\ll\frac{1}{RU}\ \ (U\geqslant1),$$
$$\big|g(x)\big|\leqslant G,\qquad\big|g'(x)\big|\ll U^{-1}G.$$

Let $[\alpha,\beta]$ be the image of $[a,b]$ under the mapping $y=f'(x)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{a<n\leqslant b}g(n)e\big(f(n)\big)\ &=\sum_{\alpha<u\leqslant\beta}\frac{g(n_u)}{\sqrt{f''(n_u)}}e\left(f(n_u)-un_u+\frac{1}{8}\right)\\&\quad+O\big(G\log(\beta-\alpha+2)+U^{-1}G(b-a+R)\big)\\&\quad+O\left(G\min\left(\sqrt{R},\frac{1}{\|\alpha\|}\right)+G\min\left(\sqrt{R},\frac{1}{\|\beta\|}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where n_u is the solution of $f'(n)=u$.

Proof. See Jia [11], Lemma 5. ■

For the sum of the form

$$\min\left(1,\frac{H_1}{H}\right)\sum_{h\sim H}\left|\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N}a_mb_ne\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^\gamma\big)\right|$$

with

$$MN\sim x,\ \ a_m\ll x^\varepsilon,\ \ b_n\ll x^\varepsilon$$

for every fixed ε, it is usually called a "Type I" sum, denoted by $S_I(M,N)$, if $b_n=1$ or $b_n=\log n$; otherwise it is called a "Type II" sum, denoted by $S_{II}(M,N)$.

Lemma 2.15 *Suppose that* $48(1-\gamma)+48\delta<1$, $|a_m|\ll 1$, $|b_n|\ll 1$, $MN\asymp x$. *Then, for*

$$x^{24(1-\gamma)+24\delta+\varepsilon}\ll M\ll x^{\gamma-2\delta-\varepsilon}, \tag{2.7}$$

we have

$$S_{II}(M,N)\ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Let Q be a positive integer satisfying $1\leqslant Q\leqslant HN\log^{-1}x$. For each q $(1\leqslant q\leqslant Q)$, define

$$w_q:=\big\{(n,h):4HN^{\gamma}(q-1)Q^{-1}<hn^{\gamma}\leqslant 4HN^{\gamma}qQ^{-1},h\sim H,n\sim N\big\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S &:= \sum_{h\sim H}\Big|\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N}a_mb_ne\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^{\gamma}\big)\Big|\\
&= \sum_{h\sim H}\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N}a_mb_nc_he\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^{\gamma}\big)\\
&= \sum_{m\sim M}a_m\sum_{h\sim H}\sum_{n\sim N}b_nc_he\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^{\gamma}\big)\\
&= \sum_{m\sim M}a_m\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{(n,q)\in w_q}b_nc_he\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^{\gamma}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $|c_h|=1$, $h\sim H$. By Cauchy's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|S|^2 &\ll \Big(\sum_{m\sim M}|a_m|^2\Big)\Big(\sum_{m\sim M}\Big|\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{(n,q)\in w_q}b_nc_he\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^{\gamma}\big)\Big|^2\Big)\\
&\ll MQ\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\Big|\sum_{(n,q)\in w_q}b_nc_he\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^{\gamma}\big)\Big|^2\\
&\ll MQ\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{\substack{(n_1,h_1)\in w_q\\(n_2,h_2)\in w_q}}\Big|\sum_{m\sim M}e\big(\alpha m^3(n_1^3-n_2^3)+h_1(mn_1+u)^{\gamma}-h_2(mn_2+u)^{\gamma}\big)\Big|\\
&=: MQ\sum_{*}\Big|\sum_{m\sim M}e\big(f(m)\big)\Big|,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

where $f(m)=\alpha m^3(n_1^3-n_2^3)+h_1(mn_1+u)^{\gamma}-h_2(mn_2+u)^{\gamma}$. The outer sum runs over all the quadruples (h_1,n_1,h_2,n_2) with $(h_1,n_1),(h_2,n_2)\in w_q$.

Let $\lambda=h_1n_1^{\gamma}-h_2n_2^{\gamma}$. Then we have $|\lambda|\leqslant 4HN^{\gamma}Q^{-1}$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(4)}(m) &= \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)\Big(h_1n_1^4(mn_1+u)^{\gamma-4}-h_2n_2^4(mn_2+u)^{\gamma-4}\Big)\\
&= \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)\bigg(\lambda m^{\gamma-4}+O\Big(\frac{H}{H_1M^4}\Big)\bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a constant $C(\lambda) > 0$ such that $f^{(4)}(m) \asymp |\lambda| M^{\gamma-4}$ for $|\lambda| \geqslant C(\lambda) M^{-\gamma} H H_1^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.7 with $k = 4$, the estimate of the inner sum in (2.9) is

$$\sum_{m\sim M} e\big(f(m)\big) \ll M^{2/3+\gamma/12+\varepsilon}|\lambda|^{1/12} + M^{11/12+\varepsilon} + M^{1-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}|\lambda|^{-1/24}. \tag{2.10}$$

If $|\lambda| < C(\lambda)M^{-\gamma}$ $(H \leqslant H_1)$ or $|\lambda| < C(\lambda)M^{-\gamma}HH_1^{-1}$ $(H > H_1)$, we use the trivial bound M to estimate the inner sum.

By Lemma 2.9, the contributions of M to $|S|^2$ are (with $H \leqslant H_1$)

$$\ll M^2Q\big(HN\log 2HN + M^{-\gamma}HN^{2-\gamma}\big) \ll M^2QHN\log 2HN \tag{2.11}$$

and (with $H > H_1$)

$$\ll M^2Q\big(HN\log 2HN + HH_1^{-1}M^{-\gamma}HN^{2-\gamma}\big) \ll M^2QHN\log 2HN. \tag{2.12}$$

By noting that $|\lambda| \ll HN^\gamma Q^{-1}$, then the contribution of $M^{11/12+\varepsilon}$ to $|S|^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll MQ\cdot M^{11/12+\varepsilon}\cdot S(H,N,4HN^\gamma Q^{-1},\gamma)\\
&\ll M^{23/12+\varepsilon}Q\cdot\big(HN\log 2HN + HN^\gamma Q^{-1}\cdot HN^{2-\gamma}\big)\\
&\ll M^{23/12+\varepsilon}H^2N^2\\
&\ll M^{-1/12+\varepsilon}H^2x^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Similarly, the contribution of $M^{2/3+\gamma/12+\varepsilon}|\lambda|^{1/12}$ to $|S|^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll MQ\cdot M^{2/3+\gamma/12+\varepsilon}\cdot H^{1/12}N^{\gamma/12}Q^{-1/12}\cdot S(H,N,4HN^\gamma Q^{-1},\gamma)\\
&\ll M^{5/3+\gamma/12+\varepsilon}Q^{11/12}H^{1/12}N^{\gamma/12}\big(HN\log 2HN + HN^\gamma Q^{-1}\cdot HN^{2-\gamma}\big)\\
&\ll M^{-1/3+\varepsilon}Q^{-1/12}H^{25/12}x^{2+\gamma/12}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

By a splitting argument and Lemma 2.9, the contributions of $M^{1-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}|\lambda|^{-1/24}$ to $|S|^2$ are (with $H \leqslant H_1$ and $|\lambda| > C(\lambda)M^{-\gamma}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\times \max_{M^{-\gamma}\ll U\ll HN^\gamma Q^{-1}} \sum_{U<|\lambda|\leqslant 2U} |\lambda|^{-1/24}\\
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\times \max_{M^{-\gamma}\ll U\ll HN^\gamma Q^{-1}} U^{-1/24}\cdot S(H,N,U,\gamma)\\
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\times \max_{M^{-\gamma}\ll U\ll HN^\gamma Q^{-1}} \big(U^{-1/24}HN\log 2HN + U^{23/24}HN^{2-\gamma}\big)\\
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\big(M^{\gamma/24}HN\log 2HN + H^{23/24}N^{23\gamma/24}Q^{-23/24}\cdot HN^{2-\gamma}\big)\\
&\ll M^{2+\varepsilon}QHN\log^2 x + Q^{1/24}H^{47/24}M^\varepsilon x^{2-\gamma/24}\log x
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

and (with $H > H_1$ and $|\lambda| > C(\lambda)M^{-\gamma}HH_1^{-1}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\times \max_{M^{-\gamma}HH_1^{-1}\ll U\ll HN^{\gamma}Q^{-1}}\sum_{U<|\lambda|\leqslant 2U}|\lambda|^{-1/24}\\
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\times \max_{M^{-\gamma}HH_1^{-1}\ll U\ll HN^{\gamma}Q^{-1}}U^{-1/24}\cdot S(H,N,U,\gamma)\\
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\times \max_{M^{-\gamma}HH_1^{-1}\ll U\ll HN^{\gamma}Q^{-1}}\big(U^{-1/24}HN\log 2HN+U^{23/24}HN^{2-\gamma}\big)\\
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\big(M^{\gamma/24}(H_1H^{-1})^{1/24}HN\log 2HN\\
&\qquad\qquad +H^{23/24}N^{23\gamma/24}Q^{-23/24}\cdot HN^{2-\gamma}\big)\\
&\ll M^{2-\gamma/24+\varepsilon}Q(\log x)\big(M^{\gamma/24}HN\log 2HN+H^{23/24}N^{23\gamma/24}Q^{-23/24}\cdot HN^{2-\gamma}\big)\\
&\ll M^{2+\varepsilon}QHN\log^2 x+Q^{1/24}H^{47/24}M^{\varepsilon}x^{2-\gamma/24}\log x. \qquad (2.16)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.11)-(2.16), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\log x)^{-2}|S|^2 \ll\ & M^{-1/12+\varepsilon}H^2x^2+M^{2+\varepsilon}HNQ+M^{\varepsilon}H^{47/24}x^{2-\gamma/24}Q^{1/24}\\
&+M^{-1/3+\varepsilon}H^{25/12}x^{2+\gamma/12}Q^{-1/12}. \qquad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.12, we can choose an optimal $Q\in[1,HN\log^{-1}x]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\log x)^{-3}|S|^2 \ll\ & M^{-1/12+\varepsilon}H^2x^2+M^{2+\varepsilon}HN+M^{\varepsilon}H^{47/24}x^{2-\gamma/24}+M^{-1/9+\varepsilon}H^2x^2\\
&+M^{-1/4+\varepsilon}H^2x^{(23+\gamma)/12}+M^{-3/13+\varepsilon}H^2x^{(25+\gamma)/13}. \qquad (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\log x)^{-2}S_{II}(M,N) \ll\ & M^{-1/24+\varepsilon}H_1x+M^{1/2+\varepsilon}H_1^{1/2}x^{1/2}\\
&+M^{-1/8+\varepsilon}H_1x^{(23+\gamma)/24}+M^{-3/26+\varepsilon}H_1x^{(25+\gamma)/26}\\
&+M^{\varepsilon}H_1^{47/48}x^{1-\gamma/48}+M^{-1/18+\varepsilon}H_1x \qquad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.19) we know that, under the condition (2.7), the result of Lemma 2.15 follows. ■

Lemma 2.16 *Suppose that* $16(1-\gamma)+16\delta<1$, $|a_m|\ll 1$, $b_n=1$ *or* $b_n=\log n$, $MN\asymp x$. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1&=\frac{3}{2}-19(1-\gamma)-19\delta, & a_2&=\frac{12}{11}-\frac{144}{11}(1-\gamma)-\frac{144}{11}\delta,\\
a_3&=1-\frac{35}{3}(1-\gamma)-\frac{35}{3}\delta, & a_4&=\frac{18}{17}-\frac{192}{17}(1-\gamma)-\frac{192}{17}\delta,\\
a_5&=\frac{13}{11}-\frac{118}{11}(1-\gamma)-\frac{118}{11}\delta, & a_6&=\frac{24}{23}-\frac{216}{23}(1-\gamma)-\frac{216}{23}\delta, \qquad (2.20)\\
a_7&=\frac{26}{29}-\frac{194}{29}(1-\gamma)-\frac{201}{29}\delta, & a_8&=\frac{24}{29}-\frac{180}{29}(1-\gamma)-\frac{186}{29}\delta,\\
a_9&=\frac{46}{57}-\frac{346}{57}(1-\gamma)-\frac{357}{57}\delta, & a&=\min\big(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_9\big)-\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

If there holds

$$M \ll x^a, \tag{2.21}$$

then we have

$$S_I(M,N) \ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof. Applying partial summation to the inner sum, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N} a_m b_n e\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^\gamma\big)\right| \\
\leqslant\ & \sum_{m\sim M}\left|\sum_{n\sim N} b_n e\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^\gamma\big)\right| \\
\ll\ & (\log x)\sum_{m\sim M}\left|\sum_{n\sim N} e\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^\gamma\big)\right| =: (\log x)\cdot K_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(\log x)^{-1}\cdot S_I(M,N) \ll \min\left(1,\frac{H_1}{H}\right)\sum_{h\sim H} K_h,$$

where

$$K_h = \sum_{m\sim M}\left|\sum_{n\sim N} e\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^\gamma\big)\right|.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$K_h^8 \ll M^7\sum_{m\sim M}\left|\sum_{n\sim N} e\big(\alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^\gamma\big)\right|^8. \tag{2.23}$$

Suppose $z_n = z_n(m,u,\alpha) = \alpha m^3n^3+h(mn+u)^\gamma$. Let Q, J, L be three positive integers, which satisfy $1\leqslant Q\leqslant N\log^{-1}x$, $1\leqslant J\leqslant N\log^{-1}x$, $1\leqslant L\leqslant N\log^{-1}x$. Applying Lemma 2.8 to the inner sum of (2.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n\sim N} e(z_n)\right|^2 &\ll \frac{N}{Q}\sum_{|q|\leqslant Q}\left(1-\frac{|q|}{Q}\right)\sum_{\substack{n\sim N\\ n+q\sim N}} e(z_{n+q}-z_n) \\
&\ll \frac{N^2}{Q}+\frac{N}{Q}\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q}\left(1-\frac{q}{Q}\right)\left|\sum_{\substack{n\sim N\\ n+q\sim N}} e(z_{n+q}-z_n)\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{n\sim N} e(z_n)\right|^4 &\ll \frac{N^4}{Q^2}+\frac{N^2}{Q^2}\left(\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q}\left(1-\frac{q}{Q}\right)^2\right)\left(\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q}\left|\sum_{\substack{n\sim N\\ n+q\sim N}} e(z_{n+q}-z_n)\right|^2\right) \\
&\ll \frac{N^4}{Q^2}+\frac{N^2}{Q}\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q}\left|\sum_{\substack{n\sim N\\ n+q\sim N}} e(z_{n+q}-z_n)\right|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Applying Lemma 2.8 to the inner sum of (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{\substack{n\sim N\\ n+q\sim N}} e(z_{n+q}-z_n)\Big|^2\\
\ll\ & \frac{N}{J}\sum_{|j|\leqslant J}\Big(1-\frac{|j|}{J}\Big)\sum_{\substack{n\sim N,\, n+q\sim N\\ n+j\sim N,\, n+q+j\sim N}} e(z_{n+q+j}-z_{n+j}-z_{n+q}+z_n)\\
\ll\ & \frac{N^2}{J}+\frac{N}{J}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant J}\Big|\sum_{\substack{n\sim N,\, n+q\sim N\\ n+j\sim N,\, n+q+j\sim N}} e(z_{n+q+j}-z_{n+j}-z_{n+q}+z_n)\Big|. \qquad (2.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.25) into (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|\sum_{n\sim N} e(z_n)\Big|^4 \ \ll\ & \frac{N^4}{Q^2}+\frac{N^4}{J}+\frac{N^3}{JQ}\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant J}\\
&\times\Big|\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j} e(z_{n+q+j}-z_{n+j}-z_{n+q}+z_n)\Big|. \qquad (2.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{n\sim N} e(z_n)\Big|^8\\
\ll\ & \frac{N^8}{Q^4}+\frac{N^8}{J^2}+\frac{N^6}{J^2Q^2}\Big(\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant J}\Big|\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j} e(z_{n+q+j}-z_{n+j}-z_{n+q}+z_n)\Big|\Big)^2\\
\ll\ & \frac{N^8}{Q^4}+\frac{N^8}{J^2}+\frac{N^6}{JQ}\sum_{1\leqslant q\leqslant Q}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant J}\Big|\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j} e(z_{n+q+j}-z_{n+j}-z_{n+q}+z_n)\Big|^2. \qquad (2.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Set $y_n=y_n(q,j)=z_{n+q+j}-z_{n+j}-z_{n+q}+z_n$. Applying Lemma 2.8 to the inner sum of (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j} e\big(y_n\big)\Big|^2\\
\ll\ & \frac{N}{L}\sum_{|\ell|\leqslant L}\Big(1-\frac{|\ell|}{L}\Big)\sum_{\substack{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j\\ N<n+\ell\leqslant 2N-q-j}} e\big(y_{n+\ell}-y_n\big)\\
=\ & \frac{N^2}{L}+\frac{N}{L}\sum_{1\leqslant|\ell|\leqslant L}\Big(1-\frac{|\ell|}{L}\Big)\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell} e\big(y_{n+\ell}-y_n\big). \qquad (2.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.28) into (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\sum_{n\sim N}e(z_n)\Big|^8\\ \ll\ &\frac{N^8}{Q^4}+\frac{N^8}{J^2}+\frac{N^8}{L}+\frac{N^7}{LJQ}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{j=1}^{J}\sum_{1\leqslant|\ell|\leqslant L}\Big(1-\frac{|\ell|}{L}\Big)\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell}e\big(y_{n+\ell}-y_n\big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

Put (2.29) into (2.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}K_h^8\ \ll\ &\frac{x^8}{Q^4}+\frac{x^8}{J^2}+\frac{x^8}{L}+\frac{x^7}{LJQ}\\ &\times\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{j=1}^{J}\sum_{\ell=1}^{L}\Big|\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell}e\big(y_{n+\ell}-y_n\big)\Big|\\ =:\ &\frac{x^8}{Q^4}+\frac{x^8}{J^2}+\frac{x^8}{L}+\frac{x^7}{LJQ}\sum_{q=1}^{Q}\sum_{j=1}^{J}\sum_{\ell=1}^{L}|E_{q,j,\ell}|,\end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

where

$$E_{q,j,\ell}=\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell}e\big(y_{n+\ell}-y_n\big).\tag{2.31}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\big(n^\gamma;q,j,\ell\big)\ =\ &(n+q+j+\ell)^\gamma-(n+q+j)^\gamma-(n+q+\ell)^\gamma-(n+j+\ell)^\gamma\\ &+(n+q)^\gamma+(n+j)^\gamma+(n+\ell)^\gamma-n^\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}y_{n+\ell}-y_n\ =\ &z_{n+q+j+\ell}-z_{n+q+j}-z_{n+q+\ell}-z_{n+j+\ell}+z_{n+q}+z_{n+j}+z_{n+\ell}-z_n\\ =\ &6\alpha qj\ell m^3+\Big(h\big(m(n+q+j+\ell)+u\big)^\gamma-h\big(m(n+q+j)+u\big)^\gamma\Big)\\ &-\Big(h\big(m(n+q+\ell)+u\big)^\gamma-h\big(m(n+q)+u\big)^\gamma\Big)\\ &-\Big(h\big(m(n+j+\ell)+u\big)^\gamma-h\big(m(n+j)+u\big)^\gamma\Big)\\ &+\Big(h\big(m(n+\ell)+u\big)^\gamma-h\big(mn+u\big)^\gamma\Big)\\ =\ &6\alpha qj\ell m^3+hm^\gamma\Delta\big(n^\gamma;q,j,\ell\big)\\ &+\gamma h\int_0^u\Big(\big(m(n+q+j+\ell)+t\big)^{\gamma-1}-\big(m(n+q+j)+t\big)^{\gamma-1}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\\ &-\gamma h\int_0^u\Big(\big(m(n+q+\ell)+t\big)^{\gamma-1}-\big(m(n+q)+t\big)^{\gamma-1}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\\ &-\gamma h\int_0^u\Big(\big(m(n+j+\ell)+t\big)^{\gamma-1}-\big(m(n+j)+t\big)^{\gamma-1}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\\ &+\gamma h\int_0^u\Big(\big(m(n+\ell)+t\big)^{\gamma-1}-\big(mn+t\big)^{\gamma-1}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\\ =:\ &6\alpha qj\ell m^3+hm^\gamma\Delta\big(n^\gamma;q,j,\ell\big)+\mathcal{I}_1-\mathcal{I}_2-\mathcal{I}_3+\mathcal{I}_4.\end{aligned}$$

By noting that

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \asymp hm\ell \int_0^u \big(m(n+q+j)+t\big)^{\gamma-2}\mathrm{d}t, \qquad \mathcal{I}_2 \asymp hm\ell \int_0^u \big(m(n+q)+t\big)^{\gamma-2}\mathrm{d}t,$$
$$\mathcal{I}_3 \asymp hm\ell \int_0^u \big(m(n+j)+t\big)^{\gamma-2}\mathrm{d}t, \qquad \mathcal{I}_4 \asymp hm\ell \int_0^u \big(mn+t\big)^{\gamma-2}\mathrm{d}t,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_1-\mathcal{I}_2-\mathcal{I}_3+\mathcal{I}_4=(\mathcal{I}_1-\mathcal{I}_2)-(\mathcal{I}_3-\mathcal{I}_4)\\
\asymp\ & hm\ell\int_0^u \Big(\big(m(n+q+j)+t\big)^{\gamma-2}-\big(m(n+q)+t\big)^{\gamma-2}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\\
& -hm\ell\int_0^u \Big(\big(m(n+j)+t\big)^{\gamma-2}-\big(mn+t\big)^{\gamma-2}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\\
\asymp\ & hm^2j\ell\int_0^u \Big(\big(m(n+q)+t\big)^{\gamma-3}-\big(mn+t\big)^{\gamma-3}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\\
\asymp\ & hm^3qj\ell\int_0^u \big(mn+t\big)^{\gamma-4}\mathrm{d}t \asymp hqj\ell M^3x^{\gamma-4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{n+\ell}-y_n &= 6\alpha qj\ell m^3+hm^\gamma\Delta\big(n^\gamma;q,j,\ell\big)+O\big(hqj\ell M^3x^{\gamma-4}\big)\\
&=: G(m,n)++O\big(hqj\ell M^3x^{\gamma-4}\big). \qquad (2.32)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.32) into (2.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{q,j,\ell} &= \sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell} e\Big(G(m,n)+O\big(hqj\ell M^3x^{\gamma-4}\big)\Big)\\
&= \sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell} e\big(G(m,n)\big)\Big(1+O\big(hqj\ell M^3x^{\gamma-4}\big)\Big)\\
&= \sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell} e\big(G(m,n)\big)+O\big(hqj\ell M^3x^{\gamma-3}\big). \qquad (2.33)
\end{aligned}$$

For any $t\neq 0,1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\big(n^t;q,j,\ell\big) &= t\int_0^\ell \big((n+q+j+\tau)^{t-1}-(n+q+\tau)^{t-1}\big)\mathrm{d}\tau\\
&\quad -t\int_0^\ell \big((n+j+\tau)^{t-1}-(n+\tau)^{t-1}\big)\mathrm{d}\tau\\
&\asymp t(t-1)j\int_0^\ell \big((n+q+\tau)^{t-2}-(n+\tau)^{t-2}\big)\mathrm{d}\tau\\
&\asymp t(t-1)(t-2)qj\int_0^\ell (n+\tau)^{t-3}\mathrm{d}\tau\\
&= t(t-1)(t-2)qj\ell n^{t-3}\\
&\quad +t(t-1)(t-2)(t-3)qj\int_0^\ell\int_0^\tau (n+\xi)^{t-4}\mathrm{d}\xi\mathrm{d}\tau\\
&= t(t-1)(t-2)qj\ell n^{t-3}+O\big(N^{t-4}qj\ell^2\big). \qquad (2.34)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\Delta\big(n^t;q,j,\ell\big)=t(t-1)(t-2)qj\ell n^{t-3}+O\big(N^{t-4}qj^2\ell\big), \tag{2.35}$$

$$\Delta\big(n^t;q,j,\ell\big)=t(t-1)(t-2)qj\ell n^{t-3}+O\big(N^{t-4}q^2j\ell\big). \tag{2.36}$$

Combining (2.34), (2.35) and (2.36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\big(n^t;q,j,\ell\big) &= t(t-1)(t-2)qj\ell n^{t-3}+O\big(N^{t-4}qj\ell(q+j+\ell)\big)\\ &= t(t-1)(t-2)qj\ell n^{t-3}\bigg(1+\bigg(\frac{q+j+\ell}{N}\bigg)\bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

Therefore, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial G}{\partial n} &= \gamma hm^\gamma\Delta(n^{\gamma-1};q,j,\ell)\\ &= \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)hqj\ell m^\gamma n^{\gamma-4}\bigg(1+O\bigg(\frac{q+j+\ell}{N}\bigg)\bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n^2} &= \gamma(\gamma-1)hm^\gamma\Delta(n^{\gamma-2};q,j,\ell)\\ &= \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)(\gamma-4)hqj\ell m^\gamma n^{\gamma-5}\bigg(1+O\bigg(\frac{q+j+\ell}{N}\bigg)\bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

If $\big|\gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)hqj\ell m^\gamma n^{\gamma-4}\big|\leqslant 1/500$, then from (2.3) of Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell}e\big(G(m,n)\big)\ll MN^4(hqj\ell M^\gamma N^\gamma)^{-1}\asymp MN^4(hqj\ell x^\gamma)^{-1}. \tag{2.40}$$

In the rest of this Lemma, we always suppose that $\big|\gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)hqj\ell m^\gamma n^{\gamma-4}\big|>1/500$. By Lemma 2.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N-q-j-\ell}e\big(G(m,n)\big)\\ =\ & e\Big(\frac{1}{8}\Big)\sum_{\alpha<\nu\leqslant\beta}\bigg(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n^2}(m,n_\nu)\bigg)^{-1/2}e\big(G(m,n_\nu)-\nu n_\nu\big)+R_1(m,q,j,\ell),\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(m,n_\nu)=\gamma hm^\gamma\Delta(n_\nu^{\gamma-1};q,j,\ell)=\nu, \tag{2.42}$$

$$\alpha=\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(m,N),\quad \beta=\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(m,2N-q-j-\ell), \tag{2.43}$$

$$R=N^5(hqj\ell x^\gamma)^{-1},\qquad \nu=\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(m,n_\nu)\asymp hqj\ell m^\gamma N^{\gamma-4}, \tag{2.44}$$

$$R_1(m,q,j,\ell)\ll\log x+RN^{-1}+\min\bigg(\sqrt{R},\max\bigg(\frac{1}{\|\alpha\|},\frac{1}{\|\beta\|}\bigg)\bigg). \tag{2.45}$$

From Lemma 2.13, the contribution of $R_1(m,q,j,\ell)$ to $E_{q,j,\ell}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll M\log x + MRN^{-1} + \sum_{m\sim M}\min\left(\sqrt{R},\frac{1}{\|\alpha\|}\right) + \sum_{m\sim M}\min\left(\sqrt{R},\frac{1}{\|\beta\|}\right)\\
&\ll M\log x + x^{4-\gamma}(hqj\ell M^3)^{-1} + (hqj\ell)^{1/2}M^{3/2}x^{(\gamma-3)/2}\log x. \qquad (2.46)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we only need to estimate the exponential sum

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{\alpha<\nu\leqslant\beta}\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n^2}(m,n_\nu)\right)^{-1/2} e\big(G(m,n_\nu)-\nu n_\nu\big)\\
=\ &\sum_{\nu}\sum_{m\in\mathfrak{I}_\nu}\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n^2}(m,n_\nu)\right)^{-1/2} e\big(G(m,n_\nu)-\nu n_\nu\big), \qquad (2.47)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{I}_\nu$ is a subinterval of $(M,2M]$.

For fixed ν, define $\Delta_\lambda = \Delta(n_\nu^\lambda;q,j,\ell)$, where λ is arbitrary real number. Taking derivative of m on both sides of the equation (2.42), we have

$$n_\nu' = -\frac{\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-1}}{(\gamma-1)m\Delta_{\gamma-2}}. \qquad (2.48)$$

Combining (2.34) and (2.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}m}\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n^2}(m,n_\nu)\right)\\
=\ &\frac{\gamma^2 h m^{\gamma-1}}{\Delta_{\gamma-2}}\Big((\gamma-1)\Delta_{\gamma-2}^2-(\gamma-2)\Delta_{\gamma-1}\Delta_{\gamma-3}\Big)\\
=\ &\gamma^2(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)hqj\ell m^{\gamma-1}n_\nu^{\gamma-5}\left(1+O\left(\frac{q+j+\ell}{N}\right)\right), \qquad (2.49)
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\big(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n^2}(m,n_\nu)\big)^{-1/2}$ is monotonic in m.

Let $g(m) = G\big(m,n_\nu(m)\big) - \nu n_\nu(m)$. By a series of simple calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(m) &= 18\alpha qj\ell m^2 + \gamma h m^{\gamma-1}\Delta_\gamma,\\
g''(m) &= 36\alpha qj\ell m + \frac{\gamma h}{\gamma-1}\cdot\frac{(\gamma-1)^2\Delta_\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-2}-\gamma^2\Delta_{\gamma-1}^2}{m^{2-\gamma}\Delta_{\gamma-2}}\\
&=: 36\alpha qj\ell m + \frac{\gamma h}{\gamma-1}\cdot\frac{g_1(m)-g_2(m)}{g_0(m)}, \qquad (2.50)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_1(m) = (\gamma-1)^2\Delta_\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-2},\quad g_2(m) = \gamma^2\Delta_{\gamma-1}^2,\quad g_0(m) = m^{2-\gamma}\Delta_{\gamma-2}.$$

Hence

$$g'''(m) = 36\alpha qj\ell + \frac{\gamma h}{\gamma-1}\cdot\frac{(g_1'(m)-g_2'(m))g_0(m)-g_0'(m)(g_1(m)-g_2(m))}{g_0^2(m)}, \qquad (2.51)$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_1'(m) &= (\gamma-1)^2\big(\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-1}\Delta_{\gamma-2}+(\gamma-2)\Delta_\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-3}\big)n_\nu'(m),\\
g_2'(m) &= 2\gamma^2(\gamma-1)\Delta_{\gamma-1}\Delta_{\gamma-2}n_\nu'(m),\\
g_0'(m) &= \frac{(2-\gamma)m^{1-\gamma}}{(\gamma-1)\Delta_{\gamma-2}}\big((\gamma-1)\Delta_{\gamma-2}^2+\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-1}\Delta_{\gamma-3}\big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.52}
$$

Putting (2.52) into (2.51), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
g'''(m) &= 36\alpha qj\ell+\frac{\gamma h}{(\gamma-1)^2}\\
&\quad\times\frac{3\gamma^2(\gamma-1)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^2\Delta_{\gamma-2}^2+(\gamma-1)^3(\gamma-2)\Delta_\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-2}^3-\gamma^3(\gamma-2)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^3\Delta_{\gamma-3}}{m^{3-\gamma}\Delta_{\gamma-2}^3}\\
&=: 36\alpha qj\ell+\frac{\gamma h}{(\gamma-1)^2}\cdot\frac{g_3(m)-g_4(m)}{g_5(m)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.53}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_3(m) &= 3\gamma^2(\gamma-1)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^2\Delta_{\gamma-2}^2+(\gamma-1)^3(\gamma-2)\Delta_\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-2}^3,\\
g_4(m) &= \gamma^3(\gamma-2)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^3\Delta_{\gamma-3},\quad g_5(m)=m^{3-\gamma}\Delta_{\gamma-2}^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
g^{(4)}(m)=\frac{\gamma h}{(\gamma-1)^2}\cdot\frac{(g_3'(m)-g_4'(m))g_5(m)-g_5'(m)(g_3(m)-g_4(m))}{g_5^2(m)},
\tag{2.54}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_3'(m) &= \Big(\gamma(\gamma-1)^2(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)\Delta_{\gamma-1}\Delta_{\gamma-2}^3+6\gamma^2(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^2\Delta_{\gamma-2}\Delta_{\gamma-3}\\
&\qquad +3(\gamma-1)^3(\gamma-2)^2\Delta_\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-2}^2\Delta_{\gamma-3}\Big)n_\nu'(m),\\
g_4'(m) &= \Big(3\gamma^3(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^2\Delta_{\gamma-2}\Delta_{\gamma-3}+\gamma^3(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^3\Delta_{\gamma-4}\Big)n_\nu'(m),\\
g_5'(m) &= \frac{m^{2-\gamma}}{\gamma-1}\Big((\gamma-1)(3-\gamma)\Delta_{\gamma-2}^3-3\gamma(\gamma-2)\Delta_{\gamma-1}\Delta_{\gamma-2}\Delta_{\gamma-3}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.55}
$$

Put (2.55) into (2.54), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{(4)}(m) &= -\frac{\gamma h}{(\gamma-1)^3}\cdot\frac{1}{m^{4-\gamma}\Delta_{\gamma-2}^5}\Big(\gamma^2(\gamma-1)^2(\gamma^2+11)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^2\Delta_{\gamma-2}^4\\
&\quad -2\gamma^3(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma+3)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^3\Delta_{\gamma-2}^2\Delta_{\gamma-3}\\
&\quad -r^4(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)\Delta_{\gamma-1}^4\Delta_{\gamma-2}\Delta_{\gamma-4}\\
&\quad -(\gamma-1)^4(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3)\Delta_\gamma\Delta_{\gamma-2}^5+3\gamma^4(\gamma-2)^2\Delta_{\gamma-1}^4\Delta_{\gamma-3}^2\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.56}
$$

Combining (2.37), we have

$$
g^{(4)}(m)=c_0(\gamma)hqj\ell m^{\gamma-4}n_\nu^{\gamma-3}\left(1+\left(\frac{q+j+\ell}{N}\right)\right)\asymp hqj\ell M^{-1}x^{\gamma-3},
\tag{2.57}
$$

where $c_0(\gamma) = -8\gamma^2(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)^2(\gamma-3)(\gamma-4)^{-3}(3\gamma-8)$.

By partial summation and Lemma 2.7 with parameter $k=4$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\nu}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{J}_\nu}\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n^2}(m,n_\nu)\right)^{-1/2} e\big(G(m,n_\nu)-\nu n_\nu\big)\\
\ll\ & M^{1+\varepsilon}\Big(\big(hqj\ell M^{-1}x^{\gamma-3}\big)^{1/12}+M^{-1/12}+M^{-1/6}\big(hqj\ell M^{-1}x^{\gamma-3}\big)^{-1/24}\Big)\\
&\times\big(hqj\ell M^{\gamma}N^{\gamma-5}\big)^{-1/2}\cdot hqj\ell M^{\gamma}N^{\gamma-4}\\
\ll\ & \big(hqj\ell\big)^{7/12}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma-3)/12}+\big(hqj\ell\big)^{1/2}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma-3)/2}\\
&+\big(hqj\ell\big)^{11/24}M^{19/8+\varepsilon}x^{11(\gamma-3)/24}. \qquad (2.58)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.33), (2.40), (2.46) and (2.58), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\log x)^{-1}\cdot E_{q,j,\ell}\\
\ll\ & hqj\ell M^3x^{\gamma-3}+M+(hqj\ell M^3)^{-1}x^{4-\gamma}+(hqj\ell)^{1/2}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma-3)/2}\\
&+(hqj\ell)^{7/12}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma-3)/12}+(hqj\ell)^{11/24}M^{19/8+\varepsilon}x^{11(\gamma-3)/24}. \qquad (2.59)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.59) into (2.30), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\log x)^{-4}\cdot K_h^8 \ \ll\ & x^8Q^{-4}+x^8J^{-2}+x^8L^{-1}+Mx^7+\big(hQJLM^3\big)^{-1}x^{11-\gamma}\\
&+hQJLM^3x^{\gamma+4}+\big(hQJL\big)^{7/12}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+9)/12}\\
&+\big(hQJL\big)^{1/2}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+11)/2}\\
&+\big(hQJL\big)^{11/24}M^{19/8+\varepsilon}x^{11\gamma/24+45/8}. \qquad (2.60)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we apply Lemma 2.12 to (2.60) in Q, J, L one step at a time. First, for fixed Q and J, we choose an optimal $L\in[1,N\log^{-1}x]$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\log x)^{-4}\cdot K_h^8 \ \ll\ & x^{15/2}+Mx^7\log x+M^{8/19+\varepsilon}x^{140/19}+M^{11/18+\varepsilon}x^{22/3}\\
&+M^{24/35+\varepsilon}x^{256/35}+x^8Q^{-4}+\big(hQJ\big)^{-1}M^{-2}x^{10-\gamma}\log x+x^8J^{-2}\\
&+hQJM^3x^{\gamma+4}+\big(hQJ\big)^{7/12}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+9)/12}\\
&+\big(hQJ\big)^{1/2}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+11)/2}+\big(hQJ\big)^{11/24}M^{19/8+\varepsilon}x^{11\gamma/24+45/8}\\
&+\big(hQJ\big)^{1/3}M^{29/18+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+19)/3}+\big(hQJ\big)^{11/35}M^{57/35+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+223)/35}\\
&+\big(hQJ\big)^{1/2}M^{3/2}x^{\gamma/2+6}+\big(hQJ\big)^{7/19}M^{29/19+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+17)/19}. \qquad (2.61)
\end{aligned}$$

Second, for fixed Q, we choose an optimal $J\in[1,N\log^{-1}x]$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\log x)^{-6}\cdot K_h^8 \ \ll\ & x^{15/2}+M^{1+\varepsilon}x^7+M^{8/19+\varepsilon}x^{140/19}+M^{11/18+\varepsilon}x^{22/3}\\
&+M^{24/35+\varepsilon}x^{256/35}+M^{15/26+\varepsilon}x^{189/26}+M^{17/24+\varepsilon}x^{29/4}\\
&+M^{35/46+\varepsilon}x^{333/46}+h^{-1}M^{-1}x^{9-\gamma}Q^{-1}+x^8Q^{-4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+hM^3x^{\gamma+4}Q + h^{7/12}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+9)/12}Q^{7/12}\\
&+h^{1/2}M^{29/12+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+11)/2}Q^{1/2} + h^{11/24}M^{19/8+\varepsilon}x^{11\gamma/24+45/8}Q^{11/24}\\
&+h^{1/2}M^{3/2}x^{\gamma/2+6}Q^{1/2} + h^{7/19}M^{29/19+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+17)/19}Q^{7/19}\\
&+h^{1/3}M^{29/18+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+19)/3}Q^{1/3} + h^{11/35}M^{57/35+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+223)/35}Q^{11/35}\\
&+h^{2/3}M^{2}x^{2(\gamma+8)/3}Q^{2/3} + h^{14/31}M^{58/31+\varepsilon}x^{14(\gamma+13)/31}Q^{14/31}\\
&+h^{2/5}M^{29/15+\varepsilon}x^{2\gamma/5+6}Q^{2/5} + h^{22/59}M^{114/59+\varepsilon}x^{(22\gamma+358)/59}Q^{22/59}\\
&+h^{2/5}M^{6/5}x^{2(\gamma+16)/5}Q^{2/5} + h^{14/45}M^{58/45+\varepsilon}x^{14(\gamma+21)/45}Q^{14/45}\\
&+h^{2/7}M^{29/21+\varepsilon}x^{2(\gamma+23)/7}Q^{2/7} + h^{22/81}M^{38/27+\varepsilon}x^{(22\gamma+534)/81}Q^{22/81}. \qquad (2.62)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we choose an optimal $Q \in [1, N\log^{-1}x]$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\log x)^{-2}\cdot K_h\\
\ll\ & x^{15/16} + M^{1/8+\varepsilon}x^{7/8} + M^{1/19+\varepsilon}x^{35/38} + M^{11/144+\varepsilon}x^{11/12}\\
&+M^{3/35+\varepsilon}x^{32/35} + M^{17/192+\varepsilon}x^{29/32} + M^{35/368+\varepsilon}x^{333/368}\\
&+M^{1/2}x^{1/2} + M^{23/140+\varepsilon}x^{117/140} + M^{23/162+\varepsilon}x^{139/162}\\
&+M^{1/14}x^{25/28} + M^{11/118+\varepsilon}x^{105/118} + M^{23/216+\varepsilon}x^{8/9}\\
&+M^{23/206+\varepsilon}x^{183/206} + x^{1-\gamma/8} + h^{1/8}M^{3/8}x^{(\gamma+4)/8}\\
&+h^{7/96}M^{29/96+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+9)/96} + h^{1/16}M^{29/96+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+11)/16}\\
&+h^{11/192}M^{19/64+\varepsilon}x^{11\gamma/192+45/64} + h^{1/16}M^{3/16}x^{(\gamma+12)/16}\\
&+h^{7/152}M^{29/152+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+17)/152} + h^{1/24}M^{29/144+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+19)/24}\\
&+h^{11/280}M^{57/280+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+223)/280} + h^{1/12}M^{1/4}x^{(\gamma+8)/12}\\
&+h^{7/124}M^{29/124+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+13)/124} + h^{1/20}M^{29/120+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+15)/20}\\
&+h^{11/236}M^{57/236+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+179)/236} + h^{1/20}M^{3/20}x^{(\gamma+16)/20}\\
&+h^{7/180}M^{29/180+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+21)/180} + h^{1/28}M^{29/168+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+23)/28}\\
&+h^{11/324}M^{19/108+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+267)/324} + h^{1/10}M^{3/10}x^{(\gamma+6)/10}\\
&+h^{7/110}M^{29/110+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+11)/110} + h^{1/18}M^{29/108+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+13)/18}\\
&+h^{11/214}M^{57/214+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+157)/214} + h^{1/18}M^{1/6}x^{(\gamma+14)/18}\\
&+h^{7/166}M^{29/166+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+19)/166} + h^{1/26}M^{29/156+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+21)/26}\\
&+h^{11/302}M^{57/302+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+245)/302} + h^{1/14}M^{3/14}x^{(\gamma+10)/14}\\
&+h^{7/138}M^{29/138+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+15)/138} + h^{1/22}M^{29/132+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+17)/22}\\
&+h^{11/258}M^{57/258+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+201)/258} + h^{1/22}M^{3/22}x^{(\gamma+18)/22}\\
&+h^{7/194}M^{29/194+\varepsilon}x^{7(\gamma+23)/194} + h^{1/30}M^{29/180+\varepsilon}x^{(\gamma+25)/30}\\
&+h^{11/346}M^{57/346+\varepsilon}x^{(11\gamma+289)/346}. \qquad (2.63)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.63) we know that, under the condition (2.20), the result of Lemma 2.16 follows. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient for us to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose that* $0 < \gamma \leqslant 1$, $\delta > 0$ *and*

$$1714(1-\gamma) + 1725\delta < 46.$$

Then, uniformly in α, *we have*

$$T_3(N,\alpha) = S_3(N,\alpha) + O\big(P^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}\big),$$

where the implied constant depends only on γ *and* δ.

3.1 Proof of Proposition 3.1

We have

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\sum_{\substack{p\leqslant P\\ p\in\mathcal{P}_\gamma}} p^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha p^3\big) = \frac{1}{\gamma}\sum_{p\leqslant P} p^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha p^3\big)\big([-p^\gamma]-[-(p+1)^\gamma]\big)$$

$$= \sum_{p\leqslant P} e\big(\alpha p^3\big) + \frac{1}{\gamma}\sum_{p\leqslant P} p^{1-\gamma}e(\alpha p^3)\big(\psi(-(p+1)^\gamma)-\psi(-p^\gamma)\big) + O(\log P).$$

By noting that, for $p\sim x$ satisfying $x\leqslant P^{1/2}$, we have

$$\sum_{p\sim x} p^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha p^3\big)\big(\psi(-(p+1)^\gamma)-\psi(-p^\gamma)\big) \ll \sum_{p\sim x} p^{1-\gamma} \ll x^{2-\gamma} \ll P^{1-\gamma/2} \ll P^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient for us to prove that, for any x satisfying $P^{1/2} < x \leqslant P$, there holds

$$\sum_{p\sim x} p^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha p^3\big)\big(\psi(-(p+1)^\gamma)-\psi(-p^\gamma)\big) \ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}.$$

By partial summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{p\sim x} p^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha p^3\big)\big(\psi(-(p+1)^\gamma)-\psi(-p^\gamma)\big)\\ \ll\ & (\log x)^{-1}\left|\sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)n^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha n^3\big)\big(\psi(-(n+1)^\gamma)-\psi(-n^\gamma)\big)\right| + x^{3/2-\gamma}\log x.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we only need to show that

$$\sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)n^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha n^3\big)\big(\psi(-(n+1)^\gamma)-\psi(-n^\gamma)\ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}. \tag{3.1}$$

Applying Lemma 2.10 to (3.1) with the parameter $H=H_0$, the contribution of the error term in (2.4) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)n^{1-\gamma}\min\left(1,\frac{1}{H_0\|n^\gamma\|}\right)\\
&\ll x^{1-\gamma}\log x\sum_{n\sim x}\min\left(1,\frac{1}{H_0\|n^\gamma\|}\right)\\
&\ll x^{1-\gamma}\log x\sum_{n\sim x}\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty}a_he\big(hn^\gamma\big)\\
&\ll x^{1-\gamma}\log x\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty}|a_h|\left|\sum_{n\sim x}e\big(hn^\gamma\big)\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

For $h\neq 0$, applying (2.2) to the inner sum, we get

$$\left|\sum_{n\sim x}e\big(hn^\gamma\big)\right|\ll h^{1/2}x^{\gamma/2}+h^{-1}x^{1-\gamma}.$$

Therefore, the contribution of the error term is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll x^{1-\gamma}\log x\left(\frac{x\log H_0}{H_0}+\sum_{\substack{h=-\infty\\h\neq0}}^{\infty}|a_h|\Big(|h|^{1/2}x^{\gamma/2}+|h|^{-1}x^{1-\gamma}\Big)\right)\\
&\ll x^{1-\gamma}\log x\left(\frac{x\log H_0}{H_0}+\sum_{0<h\leqslant H_0}\frac{1}{h}\Big(h^{1/2}x^{\gamma/2}+h^{-1}x^{1-\gamma}\Big)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.+\sum_{h>H_0}\frac{H_0}{h^2}\Big(h^{1/2}x^{\gamma/2}+h^{-1}x^{1-\gamma}\Big)\right)\\
&\ll x^{1-\gamma}\log^2 x\Big(xH_0^{-1}+x^{1-\gamma}+H_0^{1/2}x^{\gamma/2}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

Taking $H_0=x^{1-\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon}$, then (3.2) is $\ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}$.

From (3.1) and (3.2), it is easy to see that, in order to prove Proposition 3.1, we only need to prove

$$\sum_{h\sim H}\frac{1}{h}\left|\sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)n^{1-\gamma}e\big(\alpha n^3\big)\Big(e\big(h(n+1)^\gamma\big)-e\big(hn^\gamma\big)\Big)\right|\ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}. \tag{3.3}$$

Set $H_1=x^{1-\gamma}$. If $H\leqslant H_1$, we write

$$e\big(h(n+1)^\gamma\big)-e\big(hn^\gamma\big)=2\pi ih\gamma\int_0^1(n+u)^{\gamma-1}e\big(h(n+u)^\gamma\big)\mathrm{d}u. \tag{3.4}$$

Putting (3.4) into the left hand side of (3.3) and combining partial summation, we can see that the left hand of (3.3) is

$$\ll \sum_{h\sim H}\left|\sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)e\Big(\alpha n^3+h(n+u)^\gamma\Big)\right|. \tag{3.5}$$

If $H>H_1$, we divide the left hand side of (3.3) into two parts and treat them separately. Applying partial summation to the inner sum of the left hand side of (3.3), we can see that the left hand of (3.3) is

$$\ll \frac{H_1}{H}\sum_{h\sim H}\left|\sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)e\Big(\alpha n^3+h(n+u)^\gamma\Big)\right|. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6), it is sufficient to show that

$$\min\left(1,\frac{H_1}{H}\right)\sum_{h\sim H}\left|\sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)e\Big(\alpha n^3+h(n+u)^\gamma\Big)\right|\ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}.$$

Take parameters $a_1,\cdots,a_9$ as condition (2.20) in Lemma 2.16. Let

$$a=\min\big(a_1,\cdots,a_9\big)-\varepsilon,\quad b=24(1-\gamma)+24\delta+\varepsilon,\quad c=\gamma-2\delta-\varepsilon.$$

Obviously, it is easy to check that

$$b<2/3,\qquad b<a,\qquad 1-c<c-b.$$

By Lemma 2.11 with $k=3$, one can see that the exponential sum

$$\min\left(1,\frac{H_1}{H}\right)\sum_{h\sim H}\left|\sum_{n\sim x}\Lambda(n)e\big(\alpha n^3+h(n+u)^\gamma\big)\right|$$

can be written as linear combination of $O\big(\log^6 x\big)$ sums of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}\ =\ \min\left(1,\frac{H_1}{H}\right)\sum_{h\sim H}\Bigg|\sum_{n_1\sim N_1}\cdots\sum_{n_6\sim N_6}&(\log n_1)\mu(n_4)\mu(n_5)\mu(n_6)\\ &\times e\Big(\alpha(n_1\cdots n_6)^3+h(n_1\cdots n_6+u)^\gamma\Big)\Bigg|,\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $N_1\cdots N_6\asymp x$; $2N_i\leqslant(2x)^{1/3}$, $i=4,5,6$ and some n_i may only take value 1. Therefore, it is sufficient for us to prove that, for each $\mathcal{T}$ defined as (3.7), there holds $\mathcal{T}\ll x^{1-\delta-\varepsilon}$. Next, we will consider three cases.

Case 1 If there exists an N_j such that $N_j\geqslant x^{1-b}$, then we must have $j\leqslant 3$ for the fact that $1-b>1/3$. Let $m=\prod\limits_{i\neq j}n_i$, $n=n_j$, $M=\prod\limits_{i\neq j}N_i$, $N=N_j$. In this case,

we can see that $\mathcal{T}$ is a sum of "Type I" satisfying $M \ll x^b \ll x^a$. By Lemma 2.16, the result follows.

Case 2 If there exists an N_j such that $x^{1-c} \leqslant N_j < x^{1-b}$, then we take $m = \prod_{i\neq j} n_i$, $n = n_j$, $M = \prod_{i\neq j} N_i$, $N = N_j$. Thus, $\mathcal{T}$ is a sum of "Type II" satisfying $x^b \ll M \ll x^c$. By Lemma 2.15, the result follows.

Case 3 If $N_j < x^{1-c}\,(j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$, without loss of generality, we assume that $N_1 \geqslant N_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant N_6$. Let ℓ denote the natural number j such that

$$N_1N_2\cdots N_{j-1} < x^{1-c}, \qquad N_1N_2\cdots N_j \geqslant x^{1-c}.$$

Since $N_1 < x^{1-c}$ and $N_6 < x^{1-c}$, then $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant 5$. Thus, we have

$$x^{1-c} \leqslant N_1N_2\cdots N_\ell = (N_1\cdots N_{\ell-1})\cdot N_\ell < x^{1-c}\cdot x^{1-c} < x^{1-b}.$$

Let $m = \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{6} n_i$, $n = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i$, $M = \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{6} N_i$, $N = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} N_i$. At this time, $\mathcal{T}$ is a sum of "Type II" satisfying $x^b \ll M \ll x^c$. By Lemma 2.15, the result follows.

Combining the above three cases, we can assert that Proposition 3.1 holds.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Take parameters as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q} = N^\sigma, \qquad \tau = N^{1-\sigma},$$

where σ satisfies $0 < \sigma \leqslant 1/6$ to be determined later. When $1 \leqslant a \leqslant q \leqslant \mathcal{Q}$ and $(a, q) = 1$, define major arcs and minor arcs as following:

$$\mathfrak{M}(a,q) = \Big[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{q\tau}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{q\tau}\Big]$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{q\leqslant\mathcal{Q}} \bigcup_{\substack{1\leqslant a\leqslant q\\(a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}(a,q), \qquad \mathfrak{m} = \Big[\frac{1}{\tau}, 1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\Big] \setminus \mathfrak{M}.$$

It is easy to find that Theorem 1.1 is a direct corollary of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 *Under the condition of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\frac{1}{\tau}}^{1+\frac{1}{\tau}} T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)T_3(N,\alpha)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha\\ =\ &\int_{\frac{1}{\tau}}^{1+\frac{1}{\tau}} S_1^2(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha + O\big(N^{4/3}\mathcal{L}^{-B}\big),\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $B > 0$ is arbitrary.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.2

First, take $\gamma = \gamma_3$, $\delta = \delta_3$ in Proposition 3.1. By Lemma 2.5 and Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\frac{1}{\tau}}^{1+\frac{1}{\tau}} T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)\Big(T_3(N,\alpha)-S_3(N,\alpha)\Big)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha\\
\ll\ & \max_{\alpha\in[\frac{1}{\tau},1+\frac{1}{\tau}]}\big|T_3(N,\alpha)-S_3(N,\alpha)\big|\times\int_0^1\big|T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)\big|\mathrm{d}\alpha\\
\ll\ & N^{(1-\delta_3)/3}\bigg(\int_0^1\big|T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)\big|^2\mathrm{d}\alpha\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}\bigg(\int_0^1\big|T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)\big|^2\mathrm{d}\alpha\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\ll\ & N^{(1-\delta_3)/3}\cdot N^{1-\gamma_1/2}\cdot N^{1-\gamma_2/2}\\
\ll\ & N^{7/3-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)/2-\delta_3/3}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Second, we divide the integral into the major arcs and the minor arcs.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\frac{1}{\tau}}^{1+\frac{1}{\tau}} T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha\\
=\ & \bigg\{\int_{\mathfrak{M}}+\int_{\mathfrak{m}}\bigg\}T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}$, there exist integers a and q, such that (2.1) holds with

$$\mathcal{Q}<q\leqslant\tau,\quad (a,q)=1,\quad 1\leqslant a\leqslant q.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}}\big|S_3(N,\alpha)\big| &\ll N^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}\bigg(\frac{1}{Q}+\frac{1}{N^{1/6}}+\frac{\tau}{N}\bigg)^{\frac{1}{16}}\\
&\ll N^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}\big(N^{-\sigma}+N^{-\frac{1}{6}}\big)^{\frac{1}{16}}\\
&\ll N^{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\sigma}{16}+\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.5 and Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{m}} T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha\\
\ll\ & \sup_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}}\big|S_3(N,\alpha)\big|\times\int_{\mathfrak{m}}\big|T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)\big|\mathrm{d}\alpha\\
\ll\ & \sup_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}}\big|S_3(N,\alpha)\big|\times\bigg(\int_0^1\big|T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)\big|^2\mathrm{d}\alpha\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}\bigg(\int_0^1\big|T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)\big|^2\mathrm{d}\alpha\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\ll\ & N^{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\sigma}{16}+\varepsilon}\cdot N^{1-\gamma_1/2}\cdot N^{1-\gamma_2/2}\\
\ll\ & N^{\frac{7}{3}-\left(\frac{\sigma}{16}+\frac{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}{2}\right)+\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$, taking $\gamma = \gamma_i\,(i = 1, 2)$ in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{1,1}(N,\alpha)T_{1,2}(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e(-N\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
\ll\ &\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_1^2(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e(-N\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_1(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)\cdot O(N^{1-\delta_1})\cdot e(-N\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
&+ \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \big(O(N^{1-\delta_1})\big)^2 S_3(N,\alpha)e(-N\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
=:\ &\mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} + \mathrm{III}, \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

say. For I, noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_1^2(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e(-N\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
\ll\ &\sup_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{m}}\big|S_3(N,\alpha)\big| \times \int_0^1 \big|S_1(N,\alpha)\big|^2\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
\ll\ &N^{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\sigma}{16}+\varepsilon}\cdot N \ll N^{\frac{4}{3}-\frac{\sigma}{16}+\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} &= \int_{\frac{1}{\tau}}^{1+\frac{1}{\tau}} S_1^2(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha - \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_1^2(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e(-N\alpha)\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{\tau}}^{1+\frac{1}{\tau}} S_1^2(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)e\big(-N\alpha\big)\mathrm{d}\alpha + O\big(N^{\frac{4}{3}-\frac{\sigma}{16}+\varepsilon}\big). \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the measure of $\mathfrak{M}$ is

$$\ll \sum_{q\leqslant\mathcal{Q}}\sum_{a=1}^{q}\frac{1}{q\tau} \ll \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\tau} \ll N^{2\sigma-1}.$$

Combining the trivial bound $S_1(N,\alpha) \ll N$, $S_3(N,\alpha) \ll N^{1/3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II} &\ll N^{1-\delta_1}\int_{\mathfrak{M}}\big|S_1(N,\alpha)S_3(N,\alpha)\big|\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
&\ll N^{1-\delta_1}\cdot N\cdot N^{\frac{1}{3}}\cdot \mathrm{meas}(\mathfrak{M}) \ll N^{\frac{4}{3}+2\sigma-\delta_1} \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{III} &\ll N^{2-2\delta_1}\int_{\mathfrak{M}}\big|S_3(N,\alpha)\big|\mathrm{d}\alpha \\
&\ll N^{2-2\delta_1}\cdot N^{\frac{1}{3}}\cdot \mathrm{meas}(\mathfrak{M}) \ll N^{\frac{4}{3}+2(\sigma-\delta_1)}. \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above formulas (3.9)-(3.14), under the conditions

$$\frac{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}{2}+\frac{\delta_3}{3} > 1, \qquad \frac{\sigma}{16}+\frac{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}{2} > 1, \qquad 2\sigma-\delta_1 < 0,$$

$$73(1-\gamma_i)+86\delta_1 < 9 \quad (i=1,2), \qquad 1714(1-\gamma_3)+1725\delta_3 < 46,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}{2}+\frac{\delta_3}{3}>1, \qquad \frac{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}{2}+\frac{\delta_1}{32}>1,$$
$$73(1-\gamma_i)+86\delta_1<9 \quad (i=1,2), \qquad 1714(1-\gamma_3)+1725\delta_3<46,$$

the equation (3.8) holds.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express the most and the greatest sincere gratitude to Professor Wenguang Zhai for his valuable advice and constant encouragement.

References

[1] R. C. Baker, G. Harman, J. Rivat, *Primes of the form* $[n^c]$, J. Number Theory, **50** (1995), 261-277.

[2] A. Balog, J. P. Friedlander, *A hybrid of theorems of Vinogradov and Piatetski-Shapiro*, Pasific J. Math., **156** (1992), 45-62.

[3] Z. Cui, *Hua's theorem with the primes in Shapiro prime sets*, Acta Math. Hungar., **104** (4) (2004), 323-329.

[4] P. Erdős and M. B. Nathanson, *Lagrange's Theorem and Thin Subsequences of Squares*, Contributions to Probability (J. Gani, V. K. Rohatgi, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1981, 3-9.

[5] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums. Cambridge University Press (1991).

[6] G. Harman, *Trigonometric sums over primes (I)*, Mathematika, **28** (1981), 249-254.

[7] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro prime number theorem*, J. Number Theory, **16** (1983), 242-266.

[8] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Prime numbers in short intervals and a generalized Vaughan identity*, Canad, J. Math., **34** (1982), 1365-1377.

[9] D. R. Heath-Brown, *A New k-th Derivative Estimate for Exponential Sums via Vinogradovs Mean Value*, arXiv:1601.04493

[10] L. K. Hua, *Some results in the additive number theory*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford., **9** (1938), 68-80.

[11] C.-H. Jia, *The distribution of square-free number (II)*, Science in China Ser. A, **8** (1992), 812-827.

[12] C.-H. Jia, *On the Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem (II)*, Science in China Ser. A, **36** (1993), 913-926.

[13] C.-H. Jia, *On the Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem*, Chinese Ann. Math., **15** (1) (1994), 9-22.

[14] C.-H. Jia, *On the Piatetski-Shapiro-Vinogradov theorem*, Acta Arith. **73** (1995), 1-28.

[15] G. Kolesnik, *The distribution of primes in sequences of the form* $[n^c]$, Mat. Zametki, **2** (2) (1972), 117-128.

[16] G. Kolesnik, *Primes of the form* $[n^c]$, Pacific J. Math., **118** (1985), 437-447.

[17] A. Kumchev, *On the Piatetski-Shapiro-Vinogradov Theorem*, Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux, **9** (1) (1997), 11-23.

[18] A. Kumchev, *On the distribution of prime numbers of the form* $[n^c]$, Glasgow Math. J., **41** (1999), 85-102.

[19] D. Leitmann, *Abschatzung trigonometrischer summen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **317** (1980), 209-219.

[20] H.-Q. Liu, J. Rivat, *On the Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **24** (1992), 143-147.

[21] C. D. Pan, C. B. Pan, *Goldbach Conjecture*, Science Press, Beijing, 1992.

[22] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *On the distribution of prime numbers in sequences of the form* $[f(m)]$, Mat. Sb., **33** (1953), 559-566.

[23] J. Rivat, *Autour d'un théorème de Pjateciĭ-Šapiro: (nombres premiers dans la suite* $[n^c]$*)*, Thèse de Doctorat (Université Paris-Sud), 1992.

[24] J. Rivat, P. Sargos, *Nombres premiers de la forme* $[n^c]$, Canad. J. Math., **53** (2), 414-433.

[25] J. Rivat, J. Wu, *Prime numbers of the form* $[n^c]$, Glasgow Math. J. **43** (2001) 237-254.

[26] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood Method*, 2nd edn., Cambridge Tracts Math., Vol. 125, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[27] I. M. Vinogradov, *Representation of an odd number as the sum of three primes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, **15** (1937), 291-294 (in Russian).

[28] E. Wirsing, *Thin subbases*, Analysis, **6** (1986), 285-308.

[29] D. Y. Zhang, W. G. Zhai, *On the Waring-Goldbach problem in thin sets of primes (II)*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series, **48** (4), 809-816.

[30] W. G. Zhai, *On the Waring-Goldbach problem in thin sets of primes*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series, **41** (3), 595-608.